DIFFERENTIATING CONCEPT, NOTION, AND CATEGORY

O‘rmonova Durdonaxon
Fergana region Fergana district School № 55, English language teacher

Abstract
In the realms of cognitive science, philosophy of mind, and formal logic, the terms concept, notion, and category are frequently utilized to describe how human intelligence structures reality. While colloquially treated as synonyms, they represent distinct stages, mechanisms, and structures of cognitive processing. This article provides a rigorous academic analysis of these three constructs, delineating their structural definitions, operational boundaries, and cognitive functions. By mapping their differences, we clarify how the human mind moves from subjective intuition to structured classification.

Keywords: *concept, notion, category, cognitive linguistics, formal logic, cognitive architecture, mental representation, cognitive psychology, prototype theory, taxonomy, categorization, aristotelian logic, intension and extension, universality, mental economy*

INTRODUCTION

The human mind does not experience the world as a chaotic stream of sensory data. Instead, it filters, organizes, and structures external stimuli into mental representations. In epistemology (the theory of knowledge) and cognitive linguistics, understanding the exact mechanisms of this structuring requires a strict differentiation between a concept, a notion, and a category. Concept serves as the abstract, universal building block of thought. Notion represents the subjective, fluid, or culturally specific understanding of a phenomenon. Category acts as the structural box or taxonomic unit where objects are grouped based on shared characteristics.

Concept: the abstract unit of thought A concept (derived from the Latin conceptus, meaning “something conceived”) is the fundamental unit of cognitive psychology and formal logic. It is an abstract, generalized mental representation of a class of objects, properties, or relations. Concepts are designed to capture the objective essence of things. For example, the concept of a "Triangle" is universally defined in geometry as a three-sided polygon whose internal angles equal 180 degrees. It remains constant regardless of individual emotion or cultural context. In formal logic, concepts possess two critical dimensions:

a) intension (connotation): The set of attributes that define the concept;

b) extension (denotation): The set of all real or hypothetical objects that possess those attributes.

“Concepts without sensory perceptions are empty, but perceptions without concepts are blind. Concepts are the necessary frameworks through which our brain synthesizes sensory data.” — Immanuel Kant.

Notion: the flexible and subjective dimension A notion (from the Latin notio, meaning “becoming acquainted with” or “an idea”) occupies a more fluid, subjective, and dynamic space in human cognition compared to a concept.

While a concept is strictly defined, a notion is often intuitive, evolutionary, and shaped by personal experience, culture, or historical context. For instance, while the concept of “Time” can be measured scientifically in seconds, the notion of “Time” varies dramatically between an urban executive and a nomadic tribe. In cognitive development, a notion is often a “pre-concept.” It is an initial, sometimes vague understanding of a phenomenon before it undergoes rigorous scientific or logical definition. Political and social discourses operate largely on notions (e.g., the notion of justice, the notion of freedom), which adapt to societal shifts over time.

Category: the structural framework of classification A category (from the Greek kategoria, meaning “accusation” or “prediction/assertion”) is a systematic grouping of distinct objects, instances, or concepts that share common properties. Categorization is the cognitive mechanism by which we achieve mental economy, allowing us to treat non-identical things as equivalent.

If a concept is the definition of an entity, a category is the actual “archive box” in the mind. For example, if the concept “An animal with feathers and a beak”, then the corresponding category “Birds (Aves)”. Categories rely on boundaries. In classical Aristotelian logic, category membership is binary. However, in modern cognitive psychology, Eleanor Rosch’s prototype theory demonstrates that category boundaries are often fuzzy, where certain members are seen as more “prototypical” than others (e.g., a robin is a prototypical member of the category bird, whereas a penguin is peripheral).

Comparative Framework

Dimension	**Concept**	**Notion**	**Category**
Primary Nature	Abstract and definitional	Intuitive and experiential	Structural and classificatory
Cognitive Stability	High (fixed by logic/science)	Low to Medium (Fluid, evolving)	Medium to high (systematic)
Orientation	What an entity fundamentally is	How an entity is perceived/felt	Where an entity belongs in system
Example (medical)	The physiological definition of diabetes.	A patient’s personal notion of living with chronic illness.	The category of endocrine diseases.

Structural synthesis: how they intersect To visualize how these three elements operate together in human intelligence, we can trace a trajectory from raw intuition to systemic organization.

The phase of perception (notion): a child interacts with various four-legged barking animals, forming a vague, intuitive notion of what these creatures are based on experience.

The phase of definition (concept): through education and linguistic development, this intuition solidifies into a stable concept: Canis lupus familiaris (a domesticated carnivorous mammal).

The phase of organization (category): finally, this concept is placed into a broader mental taxonomy, sitting inside the category of “Canines,” which sits inside “Mammals,” which sits inside “Animals.”

CONCLUSION

In academic discourse, conflating concept, notion, and category dilutes analytical precision. A concept provides the logical definition; a notion infuses that definition with subjective, human context; and a category organizes these elements into an efficient hierarchical system. Together, this triad forms the cornerstone of human cognitive architecture, transforming the chaotic external world into an understandable, structured reality.

REFERENCES

1. Маслова В.А. Когнитивная лингвистика. – Минск: ТетраСистемс, 2004

2. Goldstone, R. L., & Kersten, A. (2003). Concepts and categorization. Handbook of Psychology, 597–621. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471264385.wei0422

Cited by: 293

3. Panjieva, N. N., Karimova, S. K., & Qodirova, M. M. (2022). The aspects of the notion concept regarding to cognitology and linguoculturology. Ekonomika i Socium, (10), 177–209.

4. Rips, L. J., Smith, E. E., & Medin, D. L. (2012). Concepts and categories: Memory, meaning, and metaphysics. The Oxford Handbook of Thinking and Reasoning, 177–209. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199734689.013.0011

5. Safarov, S. (2013). Kognitiv tilshunoslik. Jizzax: Sangzor. (Concept is interpreted as a cognitive unit related to but broader than the word meaning).